

Serial No. 10/735,607
Docket No. 4481-037
Page 2

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend claims 4, 5, 7-9, 12, 14, and 18 as follows:

1. *(Previously presented)* A method of measuring the efficiency of data transmission in a network in which data packets have sequence numbers and sending stations retransmit packets which are deemed to be lost, comprising the steps of:

monitoring the occurrence of packets at a point in the network;
tracking the sequence numbers of packets successively monitored at the point;

detecting occurrence of a sequence number less than a next expected sequence number as being indicative of occurrence of packet retransmission;

incrementing a retransmission count in accordance with the quantity of retransmitted data; and

reporting the retransmission count as indicative of the transmission efficiency.

2. *(Original)* The method of claim 1, wherein the network uses TCP.

3. *(Previously presented)* The method of claim 2, wherein TCP traffic in the network at the monitored point is coherent TCP traffic which traverses the monitored point in the order of packet transmission.

Serial No. 10/735,607
Docket No. 4481-037
Page 3

4. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1, further including selecting at least one specific connection for monitoring by reference to at least one of[[::]] (a) an IP address of a connection end-point, (b) a port at an end-point, and (c) a protocol.

5. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1, further including ~~the step of~~ attributing a sequence number greater than the next expected number to loss of a packet, [[and]] incrementing a loss count by the size of a lost TCP payload, and determining the location of a fault relative to the location of the monitoring point by using the loss count.

6. (Previously presented) The method of claim 5, further including determining the location of the fault by comparing counts obtained from different monitoring points.

7. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1, further including ~~the step of~~ deriving a measure of total volume of packets transmitted as a function of the retransmission count.

8. (Currently amended) A method of monitoring data transmission in a network in which data packets have sequence numbers and sending stations retransmit packets which are deemed to be lost, comprising the steps of:

Serial No. 10/735,607
Docket No. 4481-037
Page 4

monitoring the occurrence of packets at a point in the network;
tracking the sequence numbers of packets successively monitored
at the point;

detecting occurrence of a sequence number greater than a next
expected sequence number [[to]] as being indicative of occurrence of
packet loss at the point;

incrementing a loss count in accordance with the quantity of
lost data at the point; and

reporting the loss count as indicative of the transmission
quality.

9. (Currently amended) The method of claim 8, wherein at least
one specific connection is selected for monitoring by reference to
at least one of[[::]] (a) an IP address of a connection end-point,
(b) a port at an end-point, and (c) a protocol.

10. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, further
including determining the location of a fault relative to the
location of the monitoring point by using the loss count.

11. (Previously presented) The method of claim 10, further
including determining the location of the fault by comparing counts
obtained from different monitoring points of the network.

Serial No. 10/735,607
Docket No. 4481-037
Page 5

12. (Currently amended) The method of claim 8, further including the step of deriving a measure of total volume of packets transmitted as a function of the loss count.

13. (Previously presented) Apparatus for measuring the efficiency of data transmission in a network in which data packets have sequence numbers and sending stations retransmit packets which are deemed to be lost, comprising a processor arrangement arranged to:

monitor the occurrence of packets at a point in the network;
track the sequence numbers of packets successively monitored at the point;
detect occurrence of a sequence number less than a next expected sequence number as being indicative of occurrence of packet retransmission;
increment a retransmission count in accordance with the quantity of retransmitted data; and
report the retransmission count as indicative of the transmission efficiency.

14. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 13, wherein the processor arrangement is arranged to attribute a sequence number greater than the next expected number to loss of a packet, [[and]] increment a loss count by the size of a lost TCP payload, and

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Serial No. 10/735,607
Docket No. 4481-037
Page 6

determine the location of a fault relative to the location of the monitoring point by using the loss count.

15. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 14, wherein the processor arrangement is arranged to determine the location of the fault by comparing counts obtained from different monitoring points of the network.

16. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 13, wherein the processor arrangement is arranged to derive a measure of total volume of packets transmitted as a function of the retransmission count.

17. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 13, further including a monitor for the packets adapted to be coupled to the point.

18. (Currently amended) Apparatus for measuring the efficiency of data transmission in a network in which data packets have sequence numbers and sending stations retransmit packets which are deemed to be lost, comprising a processor arrangement arranged to:

monitor the occurrence of packets at a point in the network;
track the sequence numbers of packets successively monitored at the point;

Serial No. 10/735,607
Docket No. 4481-037
Page 7

detect occurrence of a sequence number greater than a next expected sequence number as being indicative of occurrence [[pf]] of packet loss[[,]] at the point;

increment a loss count in accordance with the quantity of lost data; and

report the [[lost]] loss count as indicative of the transmission quality.

19. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the processor arrangement is arranged to:

(a) attribute a sequence number greater than the next expected number to loss of a packet,

(b) increment a loss count by the size of a lost TCP payload, and

(c) determine the location of a fault relative to the location of the monitoring point by using the loss count.

20. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 19, wherein the processor arrangement is arranged to determine the location of the fault by comparing counts obtained from different monitoring points.

21. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 18, further including a monitor for the packets adapted to be coupled to the point.